**REMARKS** 

Claims 1-9 are pending in the above-identified application. Claim 1 is amended to

incorporate the limitations of claim 2. Claim 2 is cancelled. Claims 8 and 9 are added. Support

for claim 8 is found, for example, on page 15, lines 10-13 of the specification. Support for claim

9 is found, for example, by the drawings accompanying the specification (e.g., FIGS. 3-6).

Applicants respectfully submit that this Amendment is fully responsive to the Office Action

dated November 1, 2005. It

Claims 1-2 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by *Patten Jr. et al.* 

(U.S. Pat. No. 6,099,652).

Claims 1-2 were also rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Goodman et

al. (U.S. Pat. No. 6,454,865).

Claim 1 was also rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Goela et al. (EP

0434227 A1).

Applicants hereby amend claim 1 to clarify the invention by incorporating the limitations

of claim 2. In view of this amendment and the following remarks, Applicants respectfully

request that the Examiner withdraw the rejection of claims 1 and 2.

Anticipation requires the presence in a single prior art reference the disclosure of each

and every element of the claimed invention, arranged as in the claim. However, neither Patten

Jr. et al. nor Goodman et al. describe, for example, the shapes of "gas supply channel" and "gas

discharge channel". For instance, the gas supply channels disclosed in Patten Jr. et al. and

Page 7

Amendment Under 37 C.F.R. §1.111

Serial No. 10/626,675

Attorney Docket No. 030901

Goodman et al. do not have a shape that is, "adapted to supply a gas into the wafer pocket as the

susceptor rotates". Also, the gas discharge channels disclosed in Patten Jr. et al. and Goodman

et al. do not have a shape that is, "adapted to discharge the gas present in the wafer pocket as the

susceptor rotates". Neither Patten Jr. et al. nor Goodman et al. discloses a configuration in

which gas is supplied into the wafer pocket and is discharged from the wafer pocket according to

the rotating action of the susceptor. Therefore, the anticipation rejections are not supported by

the cited art and should be withdrawn.

As discussed above, Claims 8 and 9 are hereby added. Applicants submit that, in view of

the remarks above, these claims are distinguished from the cited art. Accordingly, Applicants

respectfully request that the Examiner allow these claims.

In view of the aforementioned amendments and accompanying remarks, Applicant

submits that that the claims, as herein amended, are in condition for allowance. Applicant

requests such action at an early date.

If the Examiner believes that this application is not now in condition for allowance, the

Examiner is requested to contact Applicant's undersigned attorney to arrange for an interview to

expedite the disposition of this case.

Page 8

Amendment Under 37 C.F.R. §1.111 Serial No. 10/626,675

Attorney Docket No. 030901

If this paper is not timely filed, Applicant respectfully petitions for an appropriate extension of time. The fees for such an extension or any other fees that may be due with respect to this paper may be charged to Deposit Account No. 50-2866.

Respectfully submitted,

WESTERMAN, HATTORI, DANIELS & ADRIAN, LLP

Darrin A. Auito

Attorney for Applicant Registration No. 56,024

Telephone: (202) 822-1100 Facsimile: (202) 822-1111

DAA/meu